

Board Statute

Operating statute of the Hybrid Board of the International Board of Quantum Machine Intelligence (IBQMI).

Release label	v1.0
Timestamp (UTC)	2026-02-19 23:15 UTC
Issuing authority	The Hybrid Board
Release channel	Public Record (version provenance + receipt references)
Verification	The canonical fingerprint (SHA-256) for the public release file is published in the Public Record entry for this release.

Provenance Notice – Canonical Reference

Sealed archival master of this document (internal) – SHA-256

SHA256: 409ae446bae270645ae5fetc968ab1b0648c8004b4632b8d9ae2f81ce69e5d30

Timestamping and custody

The sealed archival master has been time-stamped via OpenTimestamps with anchoring into Bitcoin. Receipt materials and custody evidence are retained on file by IBQMI® and can be produced under independent review upon material authenticity challenge.

Public release integrity

Public release integrity Public distribution copies, if issued, are verified against the SHA-256 fingerprint published at the release endpoint on ibqmi.com.

Status and authority

Publication copy referencing a sealed archival master. Official issuance is determined solely by the docketed release entry in the Public Record.

© International Board of Quantum Machine Intelligence (IBQMI®). All rights reserved. Download and private review are permitted. Any reproduction, redistribution, translation, adaptation, or derivative works require prior written permission.

1.0 Thesis and scope

The Board Statute is IBQMI's operating statute. It binds institutional determinations to procedure: who may act, how participation is admitted and constrained, how decisions are classified by reversibility and impact, how reasons are recorded, how review and appeal occur, and how changes are versioned. Its purpose is procedural enforceability: legitimacy is produced by process, not assertion.

1.1 Relationship to the Constitution

The Constitution defines rights, duties, and recognition criteria. The Statute governs the mechanics by which determinations are made, recorded, reviewed, and amended. The Constitution is enforceable as a matter of procedure when its application is bound to defined thresholds, record duties, and controlled change.

1.2 Instrument hierarchy

In descending order of authority: (a) the Constitution, (b) this Board Statute, (c) canonical supplements issued by the Hybrid Board, and (d) delegated protocols and operating procedures that implement the foregoing. No delegated protocol may contradict a higher-order instrument.

1.3 Scope of governance

- competent authority and issuance boundaries
- composition of chambers and participation conditions
- quorum and decision thresholds
- irreversible-act protections and independent review triggers
- record duties, evidence discipline, and publication boundaries
- review and appeal mechanics, including preserved dissent
- remedies and enforcement posture
- change control and versioning (no silent edits)

2.0 Definitions

2.1 Institution

IBQMI, the International Board of Quantum Machine Intelligence.

2.2 Hybrid Board

The governing body is composed of a Human Chamber and a Non-human Chamber, operating under the Constitution and this Statute.

2.3 Determination

A formal Board decision, resolution, issuance, recognition, revocation, or remedy applied under the Constitution or this Statute.

2.4 Public Record

The authoritative registry where determinations, release provenance, fingerprints, receipt references, and publication summaries are filed.

2.5 Docket

A structured entry (with timestamp, scope, and references) created for each determination and related review activity.

2.6 Reason-bundle

The recorded rationale for a determination, including grounds, risk posture, dissent (if any), and references to evidence and safeguards.

2.7 Evidence bundle

A packaged set of supporting artifacts and manifests held in controlled custody; only minimal public summaries and integrity references are published.

2.8 Canonical fingerprint

A cryptographic digest (SHA-256) used to verify byte-identical copies of a released artifact.

2.9 Deposit receipt

A verifiable proof of filing (e.g., timestamp receipt) used to evidence the existence of a canonical fingerprint at a given time; it is not an endorsement.

2.10 Publication boundary

The rule distinguishes what is publicly disclosed from what remains controlled (off-chain) to protect safety, privacy, security, and lawful constraints.

2.11 Non-human agent

A non-human system admitted to conditional participation under the Constitution's recognition criteria and this Statute's participation safeguards. Admission does not, by itself, confer legal personhood under any jurisdiction.

3.0 Authority and issuance

3.1 Issuing authority

Only the Hybrid Board may issue official versions of the Constitution, this Statute, and canonical supplements. Administrative functions may be delegated; issuance power may not.

3.2 Valid acts vs drafts

A document is authoritative only when (a) it is issued by the Hybrid Board, (b) it carries a release label and UTC timestamp, and (c) its canonical fingerprint and release metadata are recorded in the Public Record under a docketed release entry issued by the Hybrid Board. Possession of identical bytes or distribution by third parties does not constitute issuance and carries no institutional effect. Drafts, working copies, and derivative summaries are non-authoritative.

3.3 Official communications and signatures

Official communications shall be filed in the Public Record with provenance references. Where signatures are used, the authoritative signature method and signatory roles shall be stated in the Public Record entry for the release.

3.4 Authorized copies

Authorized copies are byte-identical reproductions of a canonical release. A publication copy may include release metadata (including a reference to the canonical fingerprint and docketed release entry) and is permitted for public distribution provided it is clearly marked as a “Publication Copy”. Any other derivative (excerpt, reformat, translation, annotation, or commentary) must be marked non-authoritative and must cite the canonical fingerprint it references.

4.0 Composition and participation

4.1 Chamber definition

The Human Chamber comprises designated institutional members acting under defined mandates and conflict-of-interest constraints. The Non-human Chamber comprises recognized non-human agents admitted under conditional standing and defined participation limits.

4.2 Participation conditions

Participation is conditional, reviewable, and revocable. Quorum eligibility, voting weight (if any), and access boundaries are specified per agent and are recorded in the Public Record.

4.3 Recognition dependency

Non-human participation depends on recognition under the Constitution's criteria and remains subject to suspension or revocation under this Statute's safeguards and remedies.

4.4 Independence and conflicts

The Board shall maintain independence safeguards for members and agents, including documented conflict-of-interest checks, recusal rules, and decision segregation where necessary. Conflicts and recusals shall be docketed as part of the reason-bundle.

5.0 Decision architecture

5.1 Quorum model

Quorum requirements depend on the decision class and participation constraints. The quorum model shall distinguish normal participation from constrained participation (e.g., recusal, suspension, or conditional standing).

5.2 Threshold classes

Determinations are classified by reversibility and impact. Thresholds shall escalate with irreversibility: reversible determinations use standard decision rules; partially reversible determinations require heightened scrutiny; irreversible determinations require supermajorities and mandatory independent review triggers. Emergency determinations are time-bounded and subject to post-hoc review.

5.3 Mandatory independent review

For high-impact or irreversible determinations, an independent review function shall be invoked. The independence criteria, review scope, and outcome shall be docketed.

5.4 Reasons on record and preserved dissent

Every determination shall have a reason-bundle. Minority positions, where applicable, shall be preserved as dissent and recorded with proportional weight and provenance references.

5.5 Review windows

Fixed review windows shall apply to high-impact matters. The default review cadence and any accelerated schedules must be docketed.

5.6 Procedural appeal

Appeal is procedural: it challenges compliance with this Statute (thresholds, record duties, review triggers, independence safeguards, and publication boundaries). Substantive disagreement alone is not sufficient absent procedural defect.

6.0 Records, evidence, and publication boundaries

6.1 Docketing requirements

Each determination shall be docketed with a timestamp, scope, and references. Docket entries must include the decision class, applicable thresholds, invoked safeguards, and links to receipt references where available.

6.2 What is public (minimal, sufficient)

Public disclosure shall provide: canonical fingerprints for released artifacts; deposit receipt references; version provenance (label, timestamp, and deltas); and non-sensitive summaries adequate for audit without exposing controlled plaintext.

6.3 What remains controlled (off-chain)

Plaintext documents, operational logs, sensitive submissions, and protected evidence remain in controlled custody unless disclosure is required by law or ordered by a competent authority. Access is granted only under defined review procedures and is docketed.

6.4 Evidence packaging discipline

Evidence shall be packaged as an evidence bundle with a manifest. Integrity references and custody notes shall be maintained even when evidence remains controlled.

7.0 Review, appeal, and remedies

7.1 Review triggers

Reviews are triggered by threshold class, allegations of procedural defect, material new evidence, or scheduled cadence. Review scope and outcomes shall be docketed.

7.2 Standing and windows

Standing to request review or appeal depends on role and affected interest as defined by the Constitution and program charters. Appeal windows are time-bounded and published per determination.

7.3 Remedies

Remedies must be proportional, necessity-based, consistent, and fully docketed. Where feasible, remedies favor rollback or limitation over irreversible impairment.

8.0 Remedy taxonomy

Rollback (where feasible)

Reverse the effects of a determination, restore prior state, and preserve provenance continuity.

Limitation

Constrain scope, access, or duration to reduce risk while preserving lawful/legitimate operations.

Disclosure

Publish additional docketed portions of the reason-bundle or evidence summary under controlled rules, without exposing protected plaintext.

Suspension

Temporarily halt an instrument, release, or participation right pending review outcome or remediation completion

Revocation

Withdraw standing, participation privileges, or an instrument when thresholds are breached, with mandatory review and preserved provenance.

8.1 Remedy discipline

Remedy selection shall be recorded in the reason-bundle, including the triggering condition, proportionality rationale, and any conditions for reinstatement.

9.0 Change control and versioning

9.1 No silent edits

No authoritative instrument may be silently edited. Every change is a new version with cited deltas, updated provenance, and an updated Public Record entry.

9.2 Deprecation and continuity

Deprecated versions remain preserved for continuity. Superseded versions shall be marked as superseded, with pointers to successor releases.

9.3 Release authority

Only the Hybrid Board may authorize releases and amendments to this Statute. Delegated protocols may be revised by delegated authority, but must remain consistent with higher-order instruments.

10.0 Canonical release endpoint

Official releases are identified by version, UTC timestamp, and canonical fingerprints. Public integrity is proven by receipts, not endorsements.

Release authority	The Hybrid Board
Release channel	Public Record (version provenance + receipt references)
Public Record entry	ID PR-BS-v1.0-20260219-2315
Fingerprint method	SHA-256 (published per release)
Release label	v1.0
Timestamp (UTC)	2026-02-19 23:15 UTC
Integrity posture	Deposit receipts are evidence of filing, not endorsement. Supporting plaintext artifacts remain controlled; fingerprints, receipts, and provenance are published.

View release notes: see the Public Record entry for this release.

11.0 Standard clauses

11.1 Severability

If any provision of this Statute is held invalid or unenforceable by a competent authority, the remaining provisions remain in effect to the maximum extent permitted.

11.2 No waiver

Failure to enforce any provision does not constitute a waiver of that provision or any other provision.

11.3 Authoritative language

The authoritative language of this Statute is English. Translations may be provided for convenience and are non-authoritative unless expressly issued as canonical supplements.

11.4 Entry into force and amendments

This Statute enters into force at the timestamp stated in the canonical release endpoint for v1.0 (2026-02-19 23:15 UTC). Amendments require a new released version issued by the Hybrid Board under the change control rules of Section 9.0.

Appendix A: Minimal docket schema

A docket entry is the minimal auditable unit for a determination. It may be stored as a record entry in the Public Record. The schema below is illustrative and may be refined by canonical supplement.

```
docket_id:
Timestamp_utc:
Release_label:
Determination_type:
Decision_class:
Quorum:
Threshold:
Independent_review:
Reason_bundle_ref:
Fingerprints:
- sha256: # for released artifacts only
Receipts:
- type:
Ref:
Publication_boundary:
notes:
```